P1.01 Eco- evolutionary coupling in competing marine phytoplankton communities

P2.01 Nutrient uptake-related trait variability and trade-offs – adaptive evolution and community functioning in competing phytoplankton species

## Birte Matthiessen & Thorsten Reusch

In the first phase (P1.01) of our project we have successfully established a model system that consists of two stably coexisting marine phytoplankton species, a diatom *Chaetoceros affinis* and a coccolithopore *Emiliania huxleyi*. The two species largely varied in their responses to increasing seawater  $CO_2$  concentration, i.e. *E. huxleyi* suffered and *C. affinis* gained in response to  $CO_2$ . To allow also for intraspecific selection in the long-term experiments each of the two species was introduced with nine genotypes that also varied in their response strengths to  $CO_2$  (shown in Hattich *et al.* (2017)).

Using this model system, we were able to achieve three major milestones in understanding the consequences and relative importance of inter and intraspecific community changes and adaptation on shifting community properties, mean trait values and dynamics.

**First**, we were able to establish a new experimental method to disentangle an quantify the relative importance of inter- and intraspecific community changes on community mean trait and property changes (Hattich *et al.* accepted article). In this article we moreover compared and validated the outcome of the new approach with existing, however, much more conceptual and data demanding approaches. By this we offer a valid and feasible method to the scientific community to quantify the different types of changes that take place on different levels of biological organisation for total community changes. By applying this decomposition method over longer-term selection, we showed that species compositional shifts largely drive community property and mean trait changes over 100 generations (160 d in Fig 1.) and that genotype sorting gains in importance with time (Hattich *et al.* in preparation) (Fig. 1).




Figure 1: The relative importance of inter- (Ecology) and intraspecific (Evolution) compositional changes and their interaction for the total phytoplankton change in abundance and mean size over 288 days (corresponding to approximately 180 generations).

**Second**, we demonstrated that altered genotype sorting over the long term (~180 generations = 288 d in Fig. 2) can significantly alter community composition, properties and mean trait values (Listmann *et al.* 2020; Hattich *et al.* in preparation). In more detail we showed that up to approximately 100 generations  $CO_2$  significantly affected species compositional shifts as expected, i.e. the diatom significantly gained and the coccolithophore suffered in response  $CO_2$ . We found that contrary to species sorting, genotype sorting turned out not being driven by  $CO_2$  but likely by the experimental conditions, leading to genotype exclusion in the same manner in both  $CO_2$  treatments and thus to the same genotype dominating all treatments after

100 generations of selection. Once one *E. huxleyi* genotype monodominated in all treatments the community dynamics abruptly changed. The composition flipped from the previously dominating diatom to dominance of *E.huxleyi* (Listmann *et al.* 2020) (Fig. 2 upper row). At the same time total abundance increased by 10fold and mean cell size declined to 20% compared to the onset of the experiment (Hattich *et al.* in preparation) (Fig. 2 lower row). The experimental environment was characterized by similar recurrently pulsed nutrient conditions which led us to investigate the variability of nutrient upake-related traits in our model system in the second phase of the priority programme (see below).




Figure 2: Upper row: Species and genotype sorting in response to two different  $CO_2$  treatments over 288 days of selection (after Listmann et al. 2020). Lower row: Total community change in abundance and mean size in response to  $CO_2$  (after Hattich et al. in preparation).

**Third**, in a community context we demonstrated not only the occurrence of rapid evolution in the form of genotype sorting but also evolutionary adaptation (Listmann *et al.* 2020), although less pronounced as initially hypothesized. Reciprocal assay experiments demonstrated weak and complex adaptation effects only in the diatom, never the coccolithophore species. In *C. affinis*, we found different responses to  $CO_2$  depending on the presence and absence of the other species (i.e. with and without competition) that even resulted in a weak adaptive effect to increased  $CO_2$  in the second assay after 288 days, evident as a significant interaction of selection and assay treatment. This response was mainly driven by low growth rates of *C. affinis* selected in mix-cultures to both high  $CO_2$ , as well as low growth rates of *C. affinis* selected under ambient  $CO_2$  in mono-cultures. This complex result demonstrates how effects of both abiotic and biotic factors interact and need to be jointly considered to avoid overestimation of adaptive responses to abiotic factors alone.

In the second phase of our project (P2.01) we investigated, if nutrient uptake-related trait variability and trade-offs can explain community property and mean trait changes in competing phytoplankton species subjected to different nutrient regimes (work in progress).

So far, we demonstrated that the two species in our model system largely varied in cell size, and the maximum uptake rate for nitrate ( $V_{maxN}$ ), with C. affinis being larger and showing higher  $V_{maxN}$ . While the *C. affinis* genotypes differed significantly in size and  $V_{maxN}$ , the *E. huxleyi* genotypes did not vary in a significant way regarding these traits. Measurements of affinity failed due to an experimental failure. With these informations in mind we started a community long-term experiment in which nutrient regimes were manipulated with initially 10, 20, and 30 µmol/L nitrate and one µmol/L phosphate (10:1, 20:1, 30:1) and which ran over 130 phytoplankton generations.




Figure 3: Upper graphs: Total biomass, mean abundance and size, species composition in response to nutrient regimes over 180 d which approximately corresponds to 130 phytoplankton generations. Lower graphs: Genotype sorting of the two species in response to nutrient regime

We found that species composition was significantly affected by nutrient regime. At low nitrate concentration the two species occurred evenly, while *C. affinis* with their higher  $V_{maxN}$  and larger cells gained in dominance with nutrients. As such the compositional shifts were predictable and to a large part responsible for mean size and total biomass increase and for declining resource use efficiency (RUE) in response to increased nutrients (Fig. 3 upper graphs). On top after more than 80 generations (i.e. 130 d in Fig. 3), we found an increase in mean cell size and total biomass and their respective variability only in the replete conditions (Fig. 3 upper graphs). Evolutionary shifts (i.e. in the form of genotype sorting) were contrary to expectations not driven by the nutrient regimes. In more detail only one *E. huxleyi* genotype dominated in all nutrient regimes, and three different *C. affinis* genotypes remained after 130 generations (Fig. 3 lower graphs). The latter, however, did not co-occur but surprisingly dominated different replicates of the same nutrient treatment. The selected *C. affinis* genotype identities were not predictable by size and other prior trait measurements.




Figure 4: Size plasticity of remaining C. affinis genotypes over the nutrient treatments at the end of the long-term experiment compared to mean size at the onset.

We were able to demonstrate, however, that the increase in the mean and variability of cell size and total biomass after more generations than 80 in the treatments with the highest nitrate concentrations were driven by extraordinarily high size plasticity of at least one of the remaining diatom genotypes (genotype B67; Fig. 4). In fact, the plastic size range of C. affinis found at the end of the long-term experiment was on average twice as high than the size variability among genotypes prior to the onset.

A short-term experimental test for size plasticity of the *C. affinis* genotypes in fact proofed no significant standing genotype variability in size plasticity in the first place and instead suggested that evolution for plasticity took

place in the long-term community experiment. This evolved size plasticity in turned translated to mean size and biomass increase and points to the importance of potential interactions between evolution and plastic changes to explaining community mean trait and property shifts in response to environmental changes.

Collectively, our results of both project phases underpin that trait changes on all levels on which contemporary shifts can occur potentially contribute to total community change and therefore should be considered when predicting community changes.

## References

1.

Hattich, G., Listmann, L., Havenhand, J., Reusch, T. & Matthiessen, B. (in preparation). Both ecology and evolution contribute to future phytoplankton community change.

2.

Hattich, G.S.I., Listmann, L., Govaert, L., Pansch, C., Reusch, T.B.H. & Matthiessen, B. (accepted article). Experimentally decomposing phytoplankton community change into ecological and evolutionary contributions. *Functional Ecology*, n/a.

3.

Hattich, G.S.I., Listmann, L., Raab, J., Ozod-Seradj, D., Reusch, T.B.H. & Matthiessen, B. (2017). Interand intraspecific phenotypic plasticity of three phytoplankton species in response to ocean acidification. *Biology Letters*, 13.

4.

Listmann, L., Hattich, G.S.I., Matthiessen, B. & Reusch, T.B.H. (2020). Eco-Evolutionary Interaction in Competing Phytoplankton: Nutrient Driven Genotype Sorting Likely Explains Dominance Shift and Species Responses to CO2. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7.